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## State of the Division Report Overview

Albemarle County Public Schools is committed to graduating all students on-time, prepared for post-graduate success in college, as members of the workforce and as citizens. In fulfilling this commitment, our emphasis is on building a strong foundation in the traditional "3Rs" and adding to that, instructional methods that develop a student's ability to master critical-thinking skills, communicate clearly and persuasively, work effectively in teams and use creativity and imagination to solve problems.

The division's investment in children is driven by a firm belief that all students can fulfill their highest potential both for life-long learning and for achievement in a global community. While meeting and exceeding federal and state testing requirements continue to be the goal of the division's curriculum, the division is moving beyond these requirements to incorporate performance-based assessments, measurements that are more closely aligned with requirements for success in higher education and in the workforce.

This state of the division report is an important resource for Albemarle County Public Schools in providing useful and actionable information on how well students are doing in core subject areas compared to their peers around the state. It also informs us on how well we are doing in providing a well-rounded education, one that includes the "3Rs" and also expands learning opportunities provided by robust programs in the fine arts, physical education and health and in technical and vocational fields.

By identifying areas of strength and areas where more progress is needed, this report makes it possible for the division to build an even stronger foundation for learning and to concentrate resources that reduce achievement gaps. Programs such as M -cubed and Being a Writer are examples of programs that have had a beneficial impact in raising the level of interest and performance of students in key academic areas. This report highlights the successes and challenges the division faced during the previous academic year and it offers a blueprint for how performance levels for all students will be improved in the current academic year.

All Albemarle County public schools but one are fully accredited for 2012-13. Schools must have a minimum pass rate of 70 percent in all content areas and meet state graduation standards to earn accreditation. Schools with a graduation class fewer than 50 students can apply for accreditation based on meeting additional standards. Under these criteria, the division has applied to the state on behalf of Murray High School and expects the school to be accredited by mid-October.

This year, as the result of the state of Virginia receiving a waiver under the No Child Left Behind law; Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) measurements have been replaced by a different standard, Annual Measureable Objectives (AMO). The most significant difference in this first year is the composition of student membership groups. Next year, testing standards for certain of these groups will increase in rigor. Twenty-one of the division's 26 schools meet the new AMO standard, an increase over the 17 schools in the prior year that met AYP objectives. The primary driver for the schools that did not meet AMO this year was the change in the membership group categories.

Among this year's challenges was the increased rigor of the standard of learning (SOL) tests in mathematics, which substantially reduced pass rates across the commonwealth. Albemarle County public school students generally did better than state averages in most grade levels although, as expected, their scores were below prior year results. This year, SOL tests in English and Science will be enhanced.

## College Level Courses, Fine Arts, Career and Technical Education, Athletics Overview

- Enrollment in AP courses was 30 percent throughout the division and 83 percent of all student AP test scores were three or above, the standard needed to earn college credit.
- High School enrollment in fine arts classes was 2,381, a 10 percent decline from the previous year but still representing a 30 percent increase over two years. Total fine arts performances increased to 302 .
- Enrollment in Career and Technical Education increased by more than 30 percent in middle schools to 1,854 . High school enrollment was 1,931 , almost even with the prior year. Student pass rates for industry certifications were 82 percent.
- Albemarle High School's Marching Patriots won the Jefferson Cup at the Jefferson Classic.
- The Albemarle High School Orchestra was awarded the 2011 Cruise Festival Grand Championship Award for Chamber String Orchestra.
- Albemarle High School's Forensics Program (individual and small group speech and drama competition) won their district and regional championship for the $11^{\text {th }}$ consecutive year and won the state title this past year.
- Sixteen Albemarle County public school students were chosen for All-State Chorus.
- Five high school teams and two individuals won state athletic championships. Twelve teams qualified for state titles. Eleven teams won regional championships as did eight individuals.


## High School Overview

- With the exception of math, SOL scores for high school students were strong, with 90 percent or better pass rates in reading, writing, world history, earth science, biology and chemistry. Math scores were close to or slightly above statewide averages.
- SOL scores for Black and Hispanic students declined in reading and writing, were higher in most history courses, lower in math and generally at or above recent test scores in science.
- Test scores for students with disabilities, economically disadvantaged or students with limited English proficiency were lower in English and Math and higher in history and most science courses.


## Middle School Overview

- SOL test scores were at or above state averages across the board and particularly in math despite the increased rigor of the test. Sixth grade students were 10 points better than the state numbers, for instance and they were 21 points ahead of the state average among seventh grade students.
- Pass rates exceeded 90 percent for reading at all three grade levels, for eighth grade students in writing, civics and economics and science and for all middle school students in algebra I and geometry.
- Scores among sixth grade Black and Hispanic students were higher in reading, history and math and for seventh grade reading and history. Math scores were lower. Eighth grade scores for Black and Hispanic students were lower. With the exception of math, most test scores across the board for students with disabilities, economically disadvantaged students and students with limited English proficiency were close to their recent averages.
- Jackson P. Burley Middle School's women's chorus won the "National Grand Champion" trophy for the fourth time in five years and won a medal at the World Choir Games.


## Elementary School Overview

- English, reading and writing scores were in line with state averages while history and social sciences continued to slightly lag average scores across Virginia.
- Scores in math, both within the division and statewide declined by 30 percent, in line with the state's projections.
- There were significant reductions in SOL test performances among Black and Hispanic third grade students and among fourth and fifth grade math students. Scores improved among Black and Hispanic students in fourth grade reading and fifth grade science tests. Scores for students with disabilities, economically disadvantaged students and students with limited English proficiency also were lower in most categories.


## Fine Arts

## "Communicate, Collaborate, Community"

This is our second year tracking this type of Fine Arts data. Exact numbers are difficult to calculate because some students take multiple Fine Arts courses in a year (and therefore may be counted twice), some are semester classes, and some are year-long classes. This data represents our faithful attempt to gather accurate data.

| Enrollment in High School <br> Fine Arts classes | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | 2011-2012 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,824 | 2,633 | 2,452 |


| Number of Students in Fine Arts Courses (2011-2012) | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: |
| Elementary (6159/6159) | $100 \%$ |
| Middle (3425/3047) | (difficult to calculate because some students take <br> multiple courses in a year and some only take one) |
| High (2381/4132) | $57 \%$ |

Budget funds for arts classes are allocated at the school level; fundraising enhances school budgets.

FINE ARTS ACCOMPLISHMENTS

| School and Community-based Performances | 2010-2011 School Year | 2011-2012 School Year |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Music performances (elementary) | 120 | 150 |
| Band performances | 60 | 70 |
| Choral performances | 20 | 35 |
| Orchestra performances | 18 | 14 |
| Drama performances | 22 | 33 |
| TOTAL Performances on record | 240 | 302 |


| Division-sponsored events <br> The purpose of these events is to build a collaborative arts community across Albemarle County <br> schools. Students in Fine Arts classes from all schools are eligible to audition/participate |  | Average number of <br> participants |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Elementary Honor's Choir | 5th grade | 160 |
| ACPS Honor Bands | middle school \& high school | 200 |
| ACPS All-County Strings Concert | middle school | 80 |
| All County/City Choir Concert | middle school \& high school | 200 |
| All County Drama Festival | some middle schools, all high schools | 250 |
| Visual Arts Festival | all schools | 900 |
| County Office Building (3rd Floor) Art Show | all schools | 75 |
| Reflections Program | middle school | 12 |


| Outside of school opportunities <br> Our students also have opportunities to participate in these free/grant-supported opportunities. Often the only cost to schools is bus transportation. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program name | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 |
| Ash Lawn Opera Education Program | 4 elementary schools; 25 choral students | 5 elementary schools <br> 1 middle school |
| Charlottesville Jazz Society | Donation of Elementary Level Resource <br> Package; high school scholarships for <br> lessons; master classes; theory seminar | High school scholarships for lessons; master classes; theory seminar; plus 3 Robert Jospe workshops |
| Program name | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 |
| Charlottesville Symphony Concert Participants | ~770 students <br> o 14 Elementary Schools, 2 Middle <br> Schools, 2 High Schools participated in Charlottesville Symphony Prelude School Visits (instrument demonstrations and master classes) | ~890 students <br> o 14 Elementary Schools, 2 Middle <br> Schools, 2 High Schools participated in Charlottesville Symphony Prelude School Visits (instrument demonstrations and master classes) |
| Kid Pan Alley | Workshop at 1 elementary | Workshops at 3 elementary schools |
| Paramount Education Series | ~ 3713 students | ~ 5643 students (1049 received financial assistance from Paramount) |
| Richmond Ballet Lecture/Demonstrations | ~ 4 free lecture/demonstrations at select schools on an annual basis | 0 (no grant this year) |
| Summer Residential Governor's School (Vocal Music, Instrumental Music, Dance, Theater) | 6/12 applications accepted | 2/12 applications accepted |
| Tuesday Evening Concert Series | ~ 875 students attended 2 concerts | ~ 919 students attended 2 concerts |

## Music

| Level |  | Schedule Details | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elementary music program <br> ~ taught primarily by music specialists |  | - $\mathrm{K}-2$ vocal/instrumental music instruction is 30-60 minutes of instruction weekly <br> - $3-5$ vocal/instrumental music instruction is 45-60 weekly | Some schools also offer additional afterschool music or drama clubs for students |
| Middle School <br> instrumental program |  | - Classes range from 3,510-8,100 minutes per year <br> - Instrumental classes = band class, plus jazz or marching band; strings classes | Not all schools offer choir in grades 6 \& 7 . <br> In order to build a quality program and provide continuity from elementary school, we'd like grade 6 chorus to become a priority in 2013-2014. |
| 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 |  |  |
| 885 students | 940 students |  |  |
| choral program |  |  |  |
| 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 |  |  |
| 324 students | 320 students |  |  |
| High School instrumental program |  | - Classes are year-long, vary by school, and include offerings in: Band, Orchestra, Percussion, Guitar, Music Theory, advanced choirs, etc. | It is a challenge to keep some students in arts classes because nonweighting in essence 'hurts' some students' GPAs. <br> Some schools offer audition-based classes to challenge students. |
| 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 |  |  |
| 625 students | 660 students |  |  |
| choral program |  |  |  |
| 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 |  |  |
| 324 students | 282 students |  |  |

WE HAVE 31 FULL AND PART-TIME ART TEACHERS


Drama
WE HAVE 9 FULL AND PART-TIME ART TEACHERS

| Level |  | Schedule Details | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Middle School |  | - Classes range from 1,755-4,050 minutes per year; most offer semester classes | Drama classes start in grade 7. |
| 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 |  |  |
| 464 students | 456 students |  |  |
| High School |  | - Classes are semester or year-long, vary by school, and include offerings in Drama, Speech, and | All schools present a musical in the Spring. Non- |
| 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | Comedy \& Improv. | drama students may |
| 241 students | 254 students |  | participate. |

Note that there are additional Fine Arts classes not listed in this report: Humanities, Journalism, Creative Writing, Filmmaking, Yearbook, etc...

## Career and Technical Education

## Enrollment - Career and Technical Education 2009-2010 2010-2011 2011-2012 <br> 1491 <br> 1957 <br> 1931

CTE provides instructional programs through which students acquire knowledge and learn relevant technical applications of current and emerging careers while preparing for postsecondary studies and employment opportunities following high school graduation. The CTE curricula are focused around eight program-specific areas: business and information technology, family and consumer sciences, health and medical sciences, marketing, technology education, trade and industrial, Career Connections, and Military Science.

Courses offered by ACPS under the Career Connections area are: Economics and Personal Finance, Junior/Senior Internship Program, Virginia Teachers for Tomorrow I \& II, and Hospitality, Tourism, and Recreation.

In recent years, ACPS began shifting base-school CTE offerings from traditional "vocational" courses toward an "advanced professional studies" model. We are achieving this by:

- increasing opportunities to earn college credit in high school
- adding opportunities to earn high school credit in middle school
- selecting new courses to reflect high-demand, high-mobility career areas
- selecting industry credentials that are in-demand and recognizable to employers

Dual Enrollment CTE Courses:

- Engineering Drawing, DR 104
- Architectural Drawing, ARC 121
- Virginia Teachers for Tomorrow, EDU 200
- Principals of Management, BUS 200
- Applied Management Principles, BUS 202
- Principles of Information Systems, ITE 120
- Web Design, ITE 199
- Medical Terminology, HLT 141
- Web Design II, ITE 201

| 2010-2011 Middle School CTE Enrollment |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Program Area | Sections | Enrollment | Female | Male |
| Family \& Consumer Sciences | 10 | 165 | 93 | $\mathbf{7 2}$ |
| Technology Education | 36 | 686 | 198 | 488 |
| Business \& Information Technology | 30 | 552 | 223 | 329 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{7 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 8 9}$ |


| 2010-2011 High School CTE Enrollment |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Program Area | Sections | Enrollment | Female | Male |
| Career Connections | 7 | 80 | 52 | 28 |
| Family \& Consumer Sciences | 11 | 181 | 147 | 34 |
| Health \& Medical Sciences | 5 | 92 | 65 | 27 |
| Marketing | 14 | 283 | 59 | 224 |
| Trade \& Industrial Education | 10 | 182 | 73 | 109 |
| Technology Education | 33 | 508 | 124 | 384 |
| Business \& Information Technology | 33 | 573 | 133 | 440 |
| Military Science | 4 | 58 | 13 | 36 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 8 2}$ |


| 2011-2012 Middle School CTE Enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Program Area | Sections | Enrollment | Female | Male |  |
| Family \& Consumer Sciences | 15 | 322 | 181 | 141 |  |
| Technology Education | 45 | 1014 | 356 | 658 |  |
| Business \& Information Technology | 24 | 518 | 235 | 283 |  |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 8 2}$ |  |


| 2011-2012 High School CTE Enrollment |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Program Area | Sections | Enrollment | Female | Male |
| Career Connections | 15 | 161 | 102 | 59 |
| Family \& Consumer Sciences | 12 | 176 | 124 | 52 |
| Health \& Medical Sciences | 6 | 93 | 75 | 18 |
| Marketing | 14 | 225 | 23 | 202 |
| Trade \& Industrial Education | 7 | 89 | 26 | 63 |
| Technology Education | 36 | 594 | 196 | 359 |
| Business \& Information Technology | 35 | 515 | 166 | 336 |
| Military Science | 6 | 78 | 9 | 69 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 5 8}$ |


| 2011-2012 Completer Industry Credentialing |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *Tests Administered | Credentials Earned | Pass Rate |
| 404 | 332 | $82.18 \%$ |

[^0]
## Physical Education

Health-related fitness testing guidelines with state \& local reporting

The sequential program of physical fitness instruction in Virginia is based on the personal fitness strand in the Standards of Learning that focuses student learning on achievement of a health-enhancing level of physical fitness. Students who participate in effective physical fitness programs will be more likely to develop lifelong habits that promote health and learning.

The Virginia Standards of Learning personal fitness goal for elementary students is to become aware of health-related fitness components (cardio respiratory endurance, body composition and muscular endurance, strength and flexibility) while engaging in a variety of physical activities.

The Standards of Learning personal fitness goal for middle school students is to continue to learn more about the components of fitness, how they are developed and improved, how they interrelate, and how they contribute to overall fitness.

While in high school, students plan, implement, evaluate, and modify a personal, goal-driven fitness plan that enables them to achieve and maintain a level of fitness that allows them to meet their personal goals for various work-related, sport, and leisure activities.

The Virginia fitness testing program provides basic health-related fitness assessments to help students identify areas of fitness that are directly linked to overall quality of life. Health-related fitness includes the five major components of fitness directly related to improvement of health.

1. Cardiorespiratory Endurance --- the ability of the blood vessels, heart and lungs to take in, transport, and utilize oxygen. This is a critically important component of fitness because it impacts other components of fitness and decreases the risk of cardiovascular diseases.
2. Muscular Strength --- the maximum amount of force a muscle or muscle groups can exert.
3. Muscular Endurance --- the length of time a muscle or muscle group can exert force prior to fatigue.
4. Flexibility --- the range of motion in the joints.
5. Body Composition --- the amount of fat versus lean mass (bone, muscle, connective tissue, and fluids). While some fat is essential for insulation and providing energy, too much fat can cause serious health problems.

Virginia and many other states have used the Cooper Institute FITNESSGRAM ${ }^{\circledR}$ standards as the statedesignated fitness test for the last few decades. The FITNESSGRAM's ${ }^{\circledR}$ criterion-referenced science-based approach identifies the physical fitness test items that assess the important aspects of a student's healthrelated fitness. They evaluate functional fitness not "athletic" fitness levels.

On the Cooper Institute FITNESSGRAM ${ }^{\circledR}$ tests, students are NOT compared to each other, but to healthrelated fitness standards established for each age and gender that indicate good health. The Cooper Institute's scientific research and validation work conducted over many years have refined these standards and have yielded a few changes in 2006 to the fitness area tests, the Healthy Fitness Zones (HFZs), and the data reporting requirements.

| Grade Code | Abdominals (State) |  |  |  |  |  | Abdominals (ACPS) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | State Boys Reporting |  |  | State Girls Reporting |  |  | ACPS Boys Reporting |  |  | ACPS Girls Reporting |  |  |
|  | Tested | In HFZ | \%HFZ | Tested | In HFZ | \%HFZ | Tested | In HFZ | \%HFZ | Tested | In HFZ | \%HFZ |
| 4 | 32612 | 27901 | 85.55 | 31517 | 26728 | 84.81 | 500 | 449 | 89.8 | 479 | 441 | 92.07 |
| 5 | 32342 | 27147 | 83.94 | 30339 | 25542 | 84.19 | 479 | 421 | 87.89 | 474 | 435 | 91.77 |
| 6 | 29143 | 26134 | 89.68 | 27967 | 24450 | 87.42 | 483 | 456 | 94.41 | 476 | 446 | 93.7 |
| 7 | 28933 | 25914 | 89.57 | 27042 | 23611 | 87.31 | 453 | 422 | 93.16 | 469 | 438 | 93.39 |
| 8 | 23575 | 21197 | 89.91 | 20738 | 18173 | 87.63 | 447 | 416 | 93.06 | 435 | 404 | 92.87 |
| 9 | 28449 | 25648 | 90.15 | 26834 | 23590 | 87.91 | 403 | 396 | 98.26 | 354 | 350 | 98.87 |
| 10 | 26932 | 24510 | 91.01 | 24904 | 22145 | 88.92 | 387 | 382 | 98.71 | 381 | 377 | 98.95 |
| 11 | 4350 | 4151 | 95.43 | 1327 | 1194 | 89.98 | 18 | 18 | 100 | 13 | 11 | 84.62 |
| 12 | 1978 | 1852 | 93.63 | 477 | 419 | 87.84 | 10 | 10 | 100 | 18 | 18 | 100 |
| Grade Code | Aerobic (State) |  |  |  |  |  | Aerobic (ACPS) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | State Boys Reporting |  |  | State Girls Reporting |  |  | ACPS Boys Reporting |  |  | ACPS Girls Reporting |  |  |
|  | Tested | In HFZ | \%HFZ | Tested | In HFZ | \%HFZ | Tested | In HFZ | \%HFZ | Tested | In HFZ | \%HFZ |
| 4 | 29987 | 21957 | 73.22 | 28551 | 23331 | 81.72 | 503 | 453 | 90.06 | 478 | 429 | 89.75 |
| 5 | 31660 | 23299 | 73.59 | 30163 | 24041 | 79.7 | 482 | 411 | 85.27 | 474 | 435 | 91.77 |
| 6 | 29014 | 21043 | 72.53 | 27853 | 21204 | 76.13 | 484 | 387 | 79.96 | 479 | 423 | 88.31 |
| 7 | 28644 | 20376 | 71.14 | 26948 | 19258 | 71.46 | 460 | 326 | 70.87 | 474 | 383 | 80.8 |
| 8 | 23325 | 16380 | 70.23 | 20307 | 14023 | 69.06 | 450 | 317 | 70.44 | 430 | 325 | 75.58 |
| 9 | 27474 | 18576 | 67.61 | 26006 | 16292 | 62.65 | 467 | 361 | 77.3 | 404 | 348 | 86.14 |
| 10 | 26333 | 17128 | 65.04 | 24254 | 14481 | 59.71 | 409 | 303 | 74.08 | 398 | 318 | 79.9 |
| 11 | 4232 | 3025 | 71.48 | 1329 | 712 | 53.57 | 18 | 12 | 66.67 | 20 | < | 40 |
| 12 | 1946 | 1288 | 66.19 | 476 | 220 | 46.22 | 11 | < | 54.55 | 19 | < | 26.32 |
| Grade Code | Upper Body Strength (State) |  |  |  |  |  | Upper Body Strength (ACPS) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | State Boys Reporting |  |  | State Girls Reporting |  |  | ACPS Boys Reporting |  |  | ACPS Girls Reporting |  |  |
|  | Tested | In HFZ | \%HFZ | Tested | In HFZ | \%HFZ | Tested | In HFZ | \%HFZ | Tested | In HFZ | \%HFZ |
| 4 | 32551 | 25695 | 78.94 | 31730 | 22623 | 71.3 | 499 | 411 | 82.36 | 479 | 376 | 78.5 |
| 5 | 32074 | 24878 | 77.56 | 30360 | 21605 | 71.16 | 481 | 397 | 82.54 | 477 | 375 | 78.62 |
| 6 | 29245 | 23224 | 79.41 | 28093 | 21070 | 75 | 478 | 412 | 86.19 | 467 | 386 | 82.66 |
| 7 | 29014 | 22759 | 78.44 | 26982 | 20386 | 75.55 | 452 | 356 | 78.76 | 471 | 379 | 80.47 |
| 8 | 23467 | 18224 | 77.66 | 20526 | 15264 | 74.36 | 441 | 333 | 75.51 | 432 | 318 | 73.61 |
| 9 | 28627 | 21561 | 75.32 | 26906 | 20250 | 75.26 | 445 | 376 | 84.49 | 389 | 346 | 88.95 |
| 10 | 26936 | 20667 | 76.73 | 24549 | 18839 | 76.74 | 389 | 345 | 88.69 | 391 | 364 | 93.09 |
| 11 | 4462 | 3659 | 82 | 1351 | 1018 | 75.35 | 16 | 13 | 81.25 | 17 | 15 | 88.24 |
| 12 | 2072 | 1644 | 79.34 | 508 | 365 | 71.85 | 11 | 11 | 100 | 18 | 14 | 77.78 |
| Grade Code | Flexibility (State) |  |  |  |  |  | Flexibility (ACPS) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | State Boys Reporting |  |  | State Girls Reporting |  |  | ACPS Boys Reporting |  |  | ACPS Girls Reporting |  |  |
|  | Tested | In HFZ | \%HFZ | Tested | In HFZ | \%HFZ | Tested | In HFZ | \%HFZ | Tested | In HFZ | \%HFZ |
| 4 | 32504 | 25792 | 79.35 | 31552 | 26567 | 84.2 | 485 | 429 | 88.45 | 461 | 426 | 92.41 |
| 5 | 31983 | 25266 | 79 | 30447 | 25022 | 82.18 | 461 | 398 | 86.33 | 458 | 414 | 90.39 |
| 6 | 29125 | 22993 | 78.95 | 28130 | 22988 | 81.72 | 486 | 398 | 81.89 | 480 | 402 | 83.75 |
| 7 | 28790 | 22440 | 77.94 | 27102 | 22951 | 84.68 | 455 | 364 | 80 | 463 | 403 | 87.04 |
| 8 | 23493 | 19019 | 80.96 | 20681 | 18025 | 87.16 | 452 | 358 | 79.2 | 435 | 390 | 89.66 |
| 9 | 28076 | 23247 | 82.8 | 26983 | 22744 | 84.29 | 421 | 345 | 81.95 | 368 | 314 | 85.33 |
| 10 | 26652 | 22625 | 84.89 | 24910 | 20414 | 81.95 | 390 | 348 | 89.23 | 390 | 325 | 83.33 |
| 11 | 4246 | 3534 | 83.23 | 1369 | 1020 | 74.51 | 19 | 17 | 89.47 | 16 | < | 56.25 |
| 12 | 1979 | 1667 | 84.23 | 486 | 370 | 76.13 | 11 | 10 | 90.91 | 17 | 14 | 82.35 |


| Grade Code | Trunk Lift (State) |  |  |  |  |  | Trunk Lift (ACPS) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | State Boys Reporting |  |  | State Girls Reporting |  |  | ACPS Boys Reporting |  |  | ACPS Girls Reporting |  |  |
|  | Tested | In HFZ | \%HFZ | Tested | In HFZ | \%HFZ | Tested | In HFZ | \%HFZ | Tested | In HFZ | \%HFZ |
| 4 | 29860 | 26634 | 89.2 | 28968 | 26679 | 92.1 | 506 | 480 | 94.86 | 479 | 464 | 96.87 |
| 5 | 29494 | 25613 | 86.84 | 28140 | 25151 | 89.38 | 480 | 431 | 89.79 | 477 | 444 | 93.08 |
| 6 | 26403 | 22761 | 86.21 | 26445 | 22673 | 85.74 | 467 | 377 | 80.73 | 468 | 425 | 90.81 |
| 7 | 26214 | 22526 | 85.93 | 24398 | 22032 | 90.3 | 444 | 364 | 81.98 | 462 | 419 | 90.69 |
| 8 | 20928 | 18268 | 87.29 | 17840 | 16267 | 91.18 | 437 | 363 | 83.07 | 426 | 397 | 93.19 |
| 9 | 25077 | 21888 | 87.28 | 24106 | 21842 | 90.61 | 218 | 188 | 86.24 | 166 | 147 | 88.55 |
| 10 | 23852 | 21594 | 90.53 | 22148 | 20398 | 92.1 | 205 | 183 | 89.27 | 203 | 195 | 96.06 |
| 11 | 4049 | 3573 | 88.24 | 1307 | 1147 | 87.76 | < | < | < | < | < | $<$ |
| 12 | 1802 | 1575 | 87.4 | 470 | 388 | 82.55 | < | < | < | < | < | < |
| Grade Code | Body Composition (State) - Optional |  |  |  |  |  | Body Composition (ACPS) - Optional |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | State Boys Reporting |  |  | State Girls Reporting |  |  | ACPS Boys Reporting |  |  | ACPS Girls Reporting |  |  |
|  | Tested | In HFZ | \%HFZ | Tested | In HFZ | \%HFZ | Tested | In HFZ | \%HFZ | Tested | In HFZ | \%HFZ |
| 4 | 9388 | 5879 | 62.62 | 9354 | 6159 | 65.84 | 396 | 300 | 75.76 | 381 | 328 | 86.09 |
| 5 | 8972 | 5512 | 61.44 | 8426 | 5565 | 66.05 | 369 | 272 | 73.71 | 394 | 345 | 87.56 |
| 6 | 10061 | 6240 | 62.02 | 9977 | 6573 | 65.88 | 484 | 349 | 72.11 | 479 | 406 | 84.76 |
| 7 | 10334 | 6747 | 65.29 | 9662 | 6389 | 66.13 | 457 | 343 | 75.05 | 469 | 399 | 85.07 |
| 8 | 5921 | 4038 | 68.2 | 5155 | 3523 | 68.34 | 455 | 357 | 78.46 | 441 | 364 | 82.54 |
| 9 | 8184 | 5175 | 63.23 | 7945 | 5275 | 66.39 | 295 | 232 | 78.64 | 264 | 221 | 83.71 |
| 10 | 8014 | 5284 | 65.93 | 6985 | 4753 | 68.05 | 258 | 195 | 75.58 | 280 | 223 | 79.64 |
| 11 | 1722 | 1176 | 68.29 | 800 | 528 | 66 | 14 | 11 | 78.57 | 13 | 11 | 84.62 |
| 12 | 991 | 728 | 73.46 | 289 | 206 | 71.28 | < | < | < | 13 | < | 69.23 |

## Program Activities Chart

|  | Activity |
| :---: | :---: |
| Fine Arts | - Summer Residential Governor's School <br> - Honors' Events (on State of the Arts report) <br> - Middle School Jazz Band Camp |
| Language Arts | - Spelling Bee <br> - Writer's Eye <br> - Governor's School for the Humanities <br> - Literacy Explosion <br> - Young Writer's Workshop Academy |
| Math | - Summer Residential Governor's School for Mathematics, Science and Technology <br> - 24 Competition <br> - Math Counts <br> - Math Olympiad <br> - Coder DoJo Academy <br> - Math, Engineering and Science Academy (MESA) for 9th-12th graders |
| Science | - Meaningful Watershed Educational Experience (MWEE) for 4th graders <br> - VABIO Student Chapter for high school students <br> - Virginia Piedmont Regional Science Fair for middle \& high school students <br> - Northrup Grumman WORTHY program for high school students <br> - Health and Medical Sciences Academy (HMSA) for 9th-12th graders <br> - Summer Residential Governor's School for Mathematics, Science and Technology <br> - Virginia Aerospace Science and Technology Scholars for 11th graders |
| Social Studies | - Governor's School for the Humanities (High School Students) <br> - National History Day (Middle and High School Students) <br> - Model United Nations (Middle and High School Students) <br> - YLI Mock Elections (Middle and High School Students) <br> - Model Congress (High School Students) <br> - Governor's Challenge in Economics and Personal Finance (High School) <br> - Foreign Cultures and American Foreign Policy Program with OLLI (the Osher Lifelong Learning Institute) (High School Students) |
| World Languages | - Governor's Foreign Language Academy |

## Gifted

Through Gifted Services, students are afforded opportunities to participate in a variety of events and experiences. Most of these activities are extra-curricular and allow a wide range of students to get involved.

Destination ImagiNation (DI) is an educational program in which student teams solve open-ended challenges and present their solutions at a tournament. Destination ImagiNation is designed to teach three essential skills, creativity, teamwork, and problem solving.

| Destination ImagiNation (DI) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Student Participants (approximate) | 375 | 377 |
| No. of ACPS Representing Teams | 54 | 50 |
| No. of ACPS Teams Participating in State Championship | 16 | 22 |
| No. of ACPS Teams Participating in Global Finals | 13 | 10 |

Below are other opportunities offered and supported by Gifted Resource Teachers:

- Battle of the Books
- Digital Fabrication
- Settlers of Catan Club
- Chess Club
- Writer's Eye
- World Peace Game
- Virginia Film Festival
- Robotics
- Model UN
- The Stock Market Game
- MathCounts
- National History Day
- Piedmont Regional Science Fair
- Digital Animation
- Schools of the Future International Competition
- Youth Leadership Initiative
- County Rocket Festival
- Independent Studies
- John Hopkins University Talent Search


## Athletics

Virginia High School League Sports offered at all three comprehensive high schools by season.

| Fall | AHS |  | MHS |  | WAHS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Boys | Girls | Boys | Girls | Boys | Girls |
| Cheerleading |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| Competition Cheerleading |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| Cross Country | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Field Hockey |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| Football | X |  | X |  | X |  |
| Golf | X | X | X | X | X |  |
| Volleyball |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| Gender Totals | 206 | 115 | 128 | 116 | 111 | 131 |
| Combined Totals | 321 |  | 244 |  | 242 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | AHS |  | MHS |  | WAHS |  |
|  | Boys | Girls | Boys | Girls | Boys | Girls |
| Basketball | X | X | X | X | X |  |
| Cheerleading |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| Competition Cheerleading |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| Indoor Track | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Swimming \& Diving | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Wrestling |  |  | X |  | X |  |
| Gender Totals | 114 | 129 | 86 | 83 | 141 | 136 |
| Combined Totals | 243 |  | 169 |  | 277 |  |


| Spring | AHS |  | MHS |  | WAHS |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Boys | Girls | Boys | Girls | Boys | Girls |  |
| Baseball | X |  | X |  | X |  |  |
| Lacrosse | X | X | X | X | X | X |  |
| Soccer | X | X | X | X | X | X |  |
| Softball |  | X |  | X |  | X |  |
| Tennis | X | X | X | X | X | X |  |
| Track | X | X | X | X | X | X |  |
| Gender Totals | 181 | 215 | 191 | 140 | 197 | 148 |  |
| Combined Totals | 396 |  |  | 331 |  | 345 |  |


| Individual \& Team <br> Championships | AHS |  | MHS |  | WAHS |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Individual | Team | Individual | Team | Individual | Team |
| District Championships | 11 | 7 |  | 2 | 1 | 10 |
| Regional Qualifiers |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |
| Regional Championships | 7 | 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| State Qualifiers |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| State Championships | 1 | 2 |  |  | 1 | 3 |

## College Level Courses

AP \& Dual Enrollment Participation

| Division AP Enrollment |  |  | Division Dual Enrollment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enrolled in AP |  |  | Enrolled in AP |  |  |
|  | N | \% |  |  | \% |
| Division | 1250 | 30.6\% | Division | 628 | 15.3\% |
| Males | 581 | 27.8\% | Males | 341 | 16.2\% |
| Females | 669 | 33.5\% | Females | 287 | 14.3\% |


| MoHS AP Enrollment |  |  | MoHS Dual Enrollment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enrolled in AP |  |  | Enrolled in AP |  |  |
|  | N | \% |  | N | \% |
| Monticello | 420 | 35.7\% | Monticello | 167 | 14.5\% |
| Males | 202 | 32.3\% | Males | 90 | 14.7\% |
| Females | 218 | 39.6\% | Females | 77 | 14.2\% |

## AP Achievement

| AHS AP Enrollment |  |  | AHS Dual Enrollment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enrolled in AP |  |  | Enrolled in AP |  |  |
|  | N | \% |  | N | \% |
| Albemarle | 460 | 25.1\% | Albemarle | 313 | 17.4\% |
| Males | 214 | 23.3\% | Males | 169 | 18.8\% |
| Females | 246 | 26.8\% | Females | 144 | 16.0\% |


| WAHS AP Enrollment |  |  | WAHS Dual Enrollment |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Enrolled in AP <br> N |  |  | Enrolled in AP <br> N |  |  |
|  | 370 | $34.4 \%$ | Western | 144 | $13.7 \%$ |
| Western | 165 | $30.2 \%$ | Males | 80 | $14.9 \%$ |
| Males | 205 | $38.8 \%$ | Females | 64 | $12.5 \%$ |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Frequency of Student Scores by School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Division | 140 | 6.1 | 289 | 12.7 | 579 | 25.4 | 643 | 28.2 | 630 | 27.6 |
| AHS | 37 | 3.9 | 91 | 9.7 | 218 | 23.2 | 280 | 29.8 | 314 | 33.4 |
| MoHS | 84 | 14 | 132 | 21.7 | 193 | 31.7 | 114 | 18.7 | 84 | 14 |
| WAHS | 18 | 2.5 | 66 | 9 | 168 | 23 | 249 | 34 | 231 | 31.6 |

## Virtual Courses

| Enrollment Virtual School | Total \# of Students <br> $2010-2011$ | Different Courses <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | Total \# of Students <br> 2011-2012 | Different Courses <br> 2011-2012 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual Virginia | 54 | 12 | 13 | 7 |
| Brigham Young University Independent Study | 53 | 24 | 40 | 18 |
| University of Nebraska Independent Study High <br> School | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Johns Hopkins Center for Talented Youth | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Henrico Distance-Learning | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Piedmont Virginia Community College | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Liberty University | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| University of Virginia* | 0 | 0 | 10 | 2 |
| K12 Online Public School | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |

*There were 10 students who took 2 virtual courses from the University of Virginia. The courses were UVA Engineering 1520 Explorations Engineering ( 6 students) and UVA Physics 1060 How Things Work (4 students).

## 2012 Seniors who have taken at least one college level course while in high school

- 970 total graduates in 2012
- 560 took 1 or more AP course(s)
- 431 took 1 or more dual enrollment course(s)
- 34 took 1 or more dual credit course(s)
- 689 (71\%) graduates took 1 or more of college credit bearing course(s)


## High School

## Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT) Data

|  | 2008-2009 |  |  | 2009-2010 |  |  | 2010-2011 |  |  | 2011-2012 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Verbal | Math | Writing | Verbal | Math | Writing | Verbal | Math | Writing | Verbal | Math | Writing |
| ACPS | 574 | 570 | 556 | 556 | 554 | 544 | 556 | 551 | 538 | 554 | 558 | 538 |
| State | 511 | 512 | 498 | 512 | 512 | 497 | 512 | 509 | 495 | 510 | 512 | 495 |
| National | 501 | 515 | 493 | 501 | 516 | 492 | 497 | 514 | 489 | 496 | 514 | 488 |

Albemarle County Public Schools students are among the top three percent of all students in Virginia according to the latest Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT) results from the Virginia Department of Education. Based on 2012 test scores, high school students in Albemarle County Public Schools had a mean average score of 553 on the critical reading test, which was the second highest score among the state's 131 public school divisions. On two other tests, math and writing, the scores were 557 and 537, respectively, which were the third highest among all school divisions in Virginia.

## Graduating Seniors with SAT Scores Reported to ACPS

There were 970 graduates in the class of 2012. Of those, 683 reported an SAT score to their school that was stored in the student information system and 287 did not. The students who reported their SAT scores are disproportionately in the top quartile of their class; conversely, students who have not reported SAT scores are disproportionately in the bottom quartile of their class (table 1).

Table 1

| Share of SAT Scores by Quartile |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Quartile | N | \% |  |
| 1st | 216 | 31.6 |  |
| 2nd | 202 | 29.6 |  |
| 3rd | 168 | 24.6 |  |
| 4th | 90 | 13.2 |  |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{6 8 3}$ |  |

In a uniform distribution, approximately $25 \%$ of the scores would be from each quartile. The top quartile is over six percentage points above expected, while the bottom quartile is nearly twelve percentage points below. These data suggest that students in the top quartile are taking and reporting SAT scores at a rate higher than students in other quartiles, with the gap widening further down the quartiles.

To look from the inverse perspective, the students in the top quartile have exceptionally high rates of taking and reporting their SAT scores. In the top quartile $93 \%$ of students have reported their SAT scores to their school. By contrast, only $37 \%$ of students in the bottom quartile have reported SAT scores (table 2).

Table 2

| Percentage of Students with SAT Scores by Quartile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quartile |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{N}$ | \% |
| 1st Quartile | No | 10 | 4.4 |  |  |  |
|  | Yes | 216 | 95.6 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{2 2 6}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | No | 24 | 10.6 |  |  |  |
|  | Yes | 202 | 89.4 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total | $\mathbf{2 2 6}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Yes | 60 | 26.7 |  |  |  |
| 4th Quartile | No | 165 | 73.3 |  |  |  |
|  | Yes | $\mathbf{2 2 5}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 127 | 58.5 |  |  |  |

Within the top quartile, four percent of students have not reported an SAT score to their school. Albemarle High School has the highest rate of students in the top quartile not reporting SAT scores ( 7.5 percent), while Monticello and Western have the lowest (2.7 and 1.6 percent, respectively).

## Standards-Based Measurement of Proficiency (STAMP)

WHO: Students in German 3, Spanish 3, French 3 and all students in Chinese and Japanese (levels 1-3)

WHY: The STAMP test was instituted as a program evaluation component to the ACPS World Languages Program so that teachers, principals, and central office staff could work together to align the curriculum and instruction of the WL department and develop consistent expectations for students' proficiency across schools.

WHAT: The STAMP tests engage students in real world scenarios and encourage them to show what they can do with language. STAMP tests empower educators to easily access and manipulate data to check progress, review programs and inform decisions around staff development and instructional planning.

WHEN: The STAMP testing window is in late April.

HOW for Students: Students receive an individual performance report that allows them to see their proficiency in three skill areas: Reading, Writing, and Speaking

HOW for Teachers: Teachers are given class reports that allow them to see individual student results in three areas: Reading, Writing, and Speaking. Students are assessed using the American Council for the Teaching of Foreign Languages (ACTFL) Proficiency Scale. The ACTFL scale offers three levels of proficiency-novice, intermediate, and advanced.

NOVICE LOW SPEAKERS have not met the STAMP benchmark and will need intensive intervention and scaffolding in order to acquire the necessary language skills

NOVICE SPEAKERS in the mid to high level, to varying degrees, can communicate in the target language and can move comfortably in and out of conversations and interactions in English and the target language.

World Language Pass Rates 2008-2012
Standards-Based Measurement of Proficiency (STAMP)

| Chinese | Total Students | Reading* | Writing* | Speaking* | Listening* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2008-2009$ | 11 | 18.18 | 88.88 | 75.00 | - |
| $2009-2010$ | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | - |
| $2010-2011$ | 0 | $N / A$ | $N / A$ | $N / A$ | - |
| $2011-2012$ | 0 | $N / A$ | $N / A$ | $N / A$ | N/A |


| French | Total Students | Reading* | Writing* | Speaking* | Listening* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2008-2009$ | 98 | 66.33 | 100.00 | 92.31 | - |
| $2009-2010$ | 95 | 58.95 | 100.00 | 95.51 | - |
| $2010-2011$ | 115 | 65.22 | 99.13 | 93.88 | - |
| $2011-2012$ | 120 | 100.00 | 94.91 | 92.10 | 72.64 |


| German | Total Students | Reading* | Writing* | Speaking* | Listening* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2008-2009$ | 34 | 47.06 | 100.00 | 90.32 | - |
| $2009-2010$ | 66 | 46.97 | 100.00 | 100.00 | - |
| $2010-2011$ | 106 | 37.74 | 99.06 | 95.10 | - |
| $2011-2012$ | 88 | 20.98 | 96.29 | 94.59 | 79.06 |


| Japanese | Total Students | Reading* | Writing* | Speaking* | Listening* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2008-2009$ | 63 | 42.86 | 79.37 | 73.33 | - |
| $2009-2010$ | 79 | 45.57 | 80.52 | 76.92 | - |
| $2010-2011$ | 93 | 45.16 | 92.47 | 91.95 | - |
| $2011-2012$ | 94 | 82.41 | 95.65 | 97.64 | 55.31 |


| Spanish | Total Students | Reading* | Writing* | Speaking* | Listening* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2008-2009$ | 513 | 63.94 | 95.68 | 85.51 | - |
| $2009-2010$ | 420 | 63.51 | 95.67 | 89.74 | - |
| $2010-2011$ | 595 | 69.75 | 98.47 | 92.78 | - |
| $2011-2012$ | 481 | 94.15 | 97.18 | 92.51 | 72.90 |

*Numbers indicate the approximate \% of students scoring a 3-6 score during the period of 2008-2009 through the 2010-2011 school year. In the 2011-2012 the scale updated to an approximate \% scoring of a 3-9 score and a newly added test category entitled "Listening" was added.

Summer School

| Session I |  | 2008-2009 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | English | 22 | 14 | 2 | 9 |
| $\bullet$ | Social Studies | 13 | 3 | 2 | 4 |
| $\bullet$ | Math | 14 | 6 | 4 | 7 |
| - | Science | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| - | PE | 74 | 32 | 28 | 39 |
| - | Health | 54 | 38 | 35 | 32 |
|  | Total | 177 | 93 | 71 | 93 |
| Session II |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | English | 22 | 14 | 1 | 12 |
| - | Social Studies | 10 | 7 | 0 | 2 |
| $\bullet$ | Math | 23 | 7 | 6 | 7 |
| - | Science | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - |  | 43 | 21 | 45 | 41 |
| - | Health | 52 | 45 | 0 | 12 |
|  | Total | 150 | 94 | 52 | 74 |

SOL Data

| English: Reading |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Division | 96 | 95 | 96 | 94 |
|  | State | 95 | 94 | 94 | 94 |
| Black | 87 | 84 | 93 | 83 |  |
| Hispanic | 88 | 76 | 97 | 90 |  |
| White | 98 | 97 | 97 | 96 |  |
| Asian |  | 100 | 82 | 93 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 86 | 83 | 86 | 76 |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 87 | 82 | 86 | 80 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 89 | 68 | 69 | 74 |  |


| English: Writing |  | 2008-2009 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Division | 94 | 94 | 95 | 93 |
|  | State | 92 | 92 | 93 | 93 |
| Black | 78 | 84 | 86 | 83 |  |
| Hispanic | 71 | 74 | 94 | 81 |  |
| White | 97 | 96 | 98 | 96 |  |
| Asian |  | 100 | 87 | 92 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 76 | 71 | 80 | 75 |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 73 | 77 | 87 | 79 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 71 | 67 | 71 | 56 |  |


| World History l | 2008-2009 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 2}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Division | 97 | 97 | 88 | 90 |
|  | State | 93 | 93 | 81 | 84 |
| Black | 94 | 89 | 67 | 83 |  |
| Hispanic | 89 | 95 | 78 | 80 |  |
| White | 98 | 99 | 93 | 92 |  |
| Asian |  | 100 | 97 | 93 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 95 | 91 | 60 | 69 |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 89 | 93 | 71 | 77 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 91 | 96 | 73 | 88 |  |


| World History II |  | 2008-2009 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Division | 96 | 98 | 90 | 88 |
|  | State | 93 | 92 | 82 | 85 |
| Black |  | 93 | 95 | 70 | 64 |
| Hispanic |  | 88 | 100 | 80 | 88 |
| White |  | 96 | 98 | 93 | 91 |
| Asian |  |  | 100 | 100 | 95 |
| Students with Disabilities |  | 88 | 95 | 77 | 82 |
| Economically Disadvantaged |  | 88 | 94 | 71 | 72 |
| Limited English Proficient |  | 87 | 100 | 66 | 84 |
| Virginia and United States History |  | 2008-2009 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 |
| All Students | Division | 95 | 95 | 87 | 91 |
|  | State | 95 | 95 | 83 | 85 |
| Black |  | 82 | 84 | 63 | 85 |
| Hispanic |  | 83 | 85 | 81 | 80 |
| White |  | 98 | 97 | 90 | 93 |
| Asian |  |  | 100 | 97 | 93 |
| Students with Disabilities |  | 84 | 84 | 62 | 75 |
| Economically Disadvantaged |  | 80 | 85 | 70 | 74 |
| Limited English Proficient |  | 86 | 85 | 72 | 70 |


| Algebra I |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Division | 96 | 95 | 97 | $\mathbf{7 2}$ |
|  | State | 94 | 94 | 94 | $\mathbf{7 5}$ |
| Black | 92 | 90 | 95 | 55 |  |
| Hispanic | 96 | 95 | 98 | 61 |  |
| White | 97 | 96 | 97 | 76 |  |
| Asian |  | $>$ | $>$ | 97 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 86 | 88 | 91 | 45 |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 93 | 93 | 96 | 59 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 98 | 94 | 95 | 71 |  |


| Geometry |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8} \mathbf{- 2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9} \mathbf{- 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Division | 92 | 93 | 91 | $\mathbf{7 7}$ |
|  | State | 87 | 88 | 87 | $\mathbf{7 4}$ |
| Black | 75 | 77 | 72 | 47 |  |
| Hispanic | 89 | 92 | 87 | 73 |  |
| White | 95 | 96 | 95 | 83 |  |
| Asian |  | $>$ | $>$ | 89 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 83 | 77 | 75 | 53 |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 82 | 81 | 78 | 61 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 85 | 91 | 78 | 65 |  |


| Algebra II | 2008-2009 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 2}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Division | 93 | 93 | 91 | $\mathbf{7 8}$ |
|  | State | 91 | 91 | 91 | 69 |
| Black | 96 | 81 | 71 | 58 |  |
| Hispanic | 86 | 81 | 88 | 56 |  |
| White | 93 | 95 | 93 | 81 |  |
| Asian |  | 100 | 100 | 98 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 81 | 82 | 77 | 54 |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 82 | 81 | 75 | 50 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 92 | 87 | 90 | 69 |  |


| Earth Science |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Division | 92 | 89 | 94 | 92 |
|  | State | 87 | 88 | 89 | 90 |
| Black | 82 | 77 | 79 | 80 |  |
| Hispanic | 83 | 83 | 91 | 82 |  |
| White | 96 | 92 | 97 | 96 |  |
| Asian |  | 89 | 97 | 81 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 81 | 73 | 84 | 75 |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 79 | 79 | 86 | 83 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 72 | 80 | 86 | 78 |  |


| Biology |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Division | 93 | 96 | 93 | 96 |
|  | State | 88 | 89 | 90 | 92 |
| Black | 80 | 86 | 86 | 84 |  |
| Hispanic | 74 | 93 | 88 | 95 |  |
| White | 95 | 97 | 95 | 98 |  |
| Asian |  | 100 | 90 | 95 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 77 | 86 | 73 | 83 |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 75 | 88 | 80 | 88 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 77 | 86 | 77 | 90 |  |


| Chemistry |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Division | 97 | 94 | 96 | 96 |
|  | State | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 |
| Black |  | 85 | 84 | 74 | 82 |
| Hispanic | 100 | 58 | 98 | 91 |  |
| White | 98 | 96 | 98 | 97 |  |
| Asian |  | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 90 | 96 | 95 | 93 |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 91 | 68 | 89 | 89 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 100 | 78 | 100 | 86 |  |

## Middle School

Sixth, Seventh, and Eighth Grade MAP Reading and Math Data*
Measures of Academic Progress (MAP) tests are internationally normed tests that emphasize student growth. The tests measure reading, language usage, and mathematics achievement. One of the unique features of MAP tests is that they dynamically respond to student performance: students who are getting questions correct are presented with more challenging questions and vice versa.

After a student completes testing they are given a score that allows them to understand their achievement relative to all other students who have taken the test and a growth goal for follow-up testing in the spring. For teachers, they are provided a breakdown of students' strengths and weaknesses and instructional supports aligned to our state standards.

Across the Division 72.5\% of middle school students are meeting the benchmark for MAP testing in reading and $65.3 \%$ are meeting the benchmark in mathematics

| MAP - Reading: Fall | Grade 6 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 2}$ | 2012-2013 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Met Bench Marks | 71.6 | 70.5 | 68.2 | 66.5 |  |
| Below Bench Marks | 28.4 | 29.5 | 31.9 | 33.5 |  |


| MAP - Math: Fall | Grade 6 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 2}$ | 2012-2013 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Met Bench Marks | 67.3 | 65.7 | 68.7 | 65.2 |  |
| Below Bench Marks | 32.7 | 34.3 | 31.3 | 34.8 |  |


| MAP - Reading: Fall | Grade 7 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Met Bench Marks | 71.1 | 72.6 | 73.1 | 73.3 |  |
| Below Bench Marks |  | 28.9 | 27.4 | 29.9 | 26.7 |


| MAP - Math: Fall | Grade 7 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Met Bench Marks | 66.4 | 70.8 | 61.3 | 64.5 |  |
| Below Bench Marks | 33.6 | 29.12 | 38.7 | 35.5 |  |


| MAP - Reading: Fall | Grade 8 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Met Bench Marks | 79.5 | 73.3 | $\mathbf{7 5 . 7}$ | 77.1 |  |
| Below Bench Marks | 20.6 | 26.7 | 24.3 | 22.9 |  |


| MAP - Math: Fall | Grade 8 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Met Bench Marks | 66.5 | 59.3 | 61.3 | 66.3 |  |
| Below Bench Marks |  | 33.5 | 40.6 | 38.8 | 33.4 |

Sixth Grade SOL Data

| English: Reading | Grade $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Division | 90 | 90 | 91 | 93 |
|  | State | 86 | 88 | 87 | 89 |
| Black |  | 74 | 72 | 74 | 83 |
| Hispanic | 85 | 80 | 91 | 85 |  |
| White | 93 | 94 | 95 | 96 |  |
| Asian |  | 95 | 86 | 98 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 77 | 78 | 67 | 66 |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 76 | 73 | 78 | 80 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 83 | 76 | 80 | 82 |  |


| United States History I | Grade 6 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Division | 78 | 82 | 82 | 87 |
|  | State | 74 | 78 | 81 | 81 |
| Black |  | 60 | 60 | 59 | 62 |
| Hispanic | 58 | 67 | 69 | 75 |  |
| White | 83 | 87 | 88 | 92 |  |
| Asian |  | 89 | 85 | 98 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 59 | 60 | 49 | 55 |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 54 | 52 | 57 | 67 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 53 | 64 | 56 | 62 |  |


| Mathematics | Grade 6 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8} \mathbf{- 2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Division | 76 | 80 | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | 84 |
|  | State | 73 | 77 | 73 | 74 |
| Black |  | 65 | 56 | 60 | 70 |
| Hispanic | 56 | 73 | 72 | 81 |  |
| White | 80 | 87 | 83 | 89 |  |
| Asian |  | 82 | 75 | 100 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 67 | 69 | 62 | 54 |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 58 | 63 | 61 | 73 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 64 | 67 | 62 | 80 |  |

Seventh Grade SOL Data

| English: Reading | Grade 7 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Division | 94 | 91 | 91 | 91 |
|  | State | 88 | 89 | 89 | 88 |
| Black |  | 87 | 80 | 74 | 80 |
| Hispanic | 79 | 80 | 86 | 85 |  |
| White | 97 | 93 | 94 | 94 |  |
| Asian |  | 96 | 89 | 88 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 87 | 83 | 66 | 59 |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 85 | 78 | 75 | 79 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 76 | 82 | 83 | 77 |  |


| United States History II | Grade 7 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8} \mathbf{- 2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Division | 92 | 90 | 85 | 84 |
|  | State | 92 | 91 | 85 | 84 |
| Black |  | 85 | 78 | 63 | 63 |
| Hispanic | 71 | 77 | 66 | 70 |  |
| White | 95 | 93 | 89 | 89 |  |
| Asian |  | 91 | 89 | 92 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 78 | 83 | 62 | 58 |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 78 | 76 | 58 | 58 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 75 | 79 | 65 | 66 |  |


| Mathematics | Grade 7 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8} \mathbf{- 2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All Students | Division | 87 | 90 | 92 | 79 |
|  | State | 71 | 75 | 77 | 58 |
| Black |  | 75 | 82 | 77 | 46 |
| Hispanic | 66 | 80 | 90 | 74 |  |
| White | 90 | 92 | 94 | 85 |  |
| Asian |  | 96 | 97 | 89 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 84 | 80 | 75 | 48 |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 67 | 80 | 77 | 56 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 63 | 84 | 91 | 62 |  |

Eighth Grade SOL Data

| English: Reading | Grade 8 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Division | 93 | 95 | 93 | 92 |
|  | State | 87 | 90 | 90 | 89 |
| Black |  | 81 | 90 | 81 | 77 |
| Hispanic | 84 | 92 | 90 | 84 |  |
| White | 96 | 97 | 95 | 95 |  |
| Asian |  | 95 | 91 | 94 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 81 | 88 | 72 | 71 |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 82 | 89 | 84 | 79 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 80 | 88 | 83 | 75 |  |


| English: Writing | Grade 8 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All Students | Division | 92 | 93 | 91 | 92 |
|  | State | 89 | 91 | 88 | 88 |
| Black |  | 79 | 86 | 82 | 79 |
| Hispanic | 87 | 80 | 84 | 79 |  |
| White | 94 | 95 | 94 | 95 |  |
| Asian |  | 95 | 95 | 97 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 67 | 71 | 64 | 62 |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 80 | 81 | 80 | 75 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 86 | 72 | 82 | 71 |  |


| Civics and Economics | Grade 8 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All Students | Division | 91 | 92 | 87 | 90 |
|  | State | 84 | 86 | 84 | 84 |
| Black |  | 80 | 82 | 82 | 75 |
| Hispanic | 78 | 73 | 77 | 81 |  |
| White | 93 | 95 | 90 | 93 |  |
| Asian |  | 95 | 84 | 100 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 79 | 75 | 59 | 63 |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 75 | 80 | 71 | 71 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 72 | 71 | 68 | 79 |  |


| Mathematics | Grade 8 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | 2011-2012 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Division | 93 | 90 | 90 | 69 |
|  | State | 85 | 87 | 82 | 60 |
| Black |  | 88 | 90 | 78 | 48 |
| Hispanic | 100 | 89 | 96 | 72 |  |
| White | 94 | 89 | 91 | 74 |  |
| Asian |  | $>$ | $>$ | $>$ |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 95 | 84 | 76 | 56 |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 91 | 86 | 85 | 63 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 97 | 88 | 96 | 62 |  |


| Science | Grade 8 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8} \mathbf{- 2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Division | 91 | 93 | 92 | 94 |
|  | State | 90 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Black |  | 79 | 81 | 84 | 79 |
| Hispanic | 72 | 77 | 85 | 86 |  |
| White | 94 | 96 | 95 | 97 |  |
| Asian |  | 93 | 87 | 97 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 71 | 76 | 73 | 72 |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 74 | 82 | 83 | 82 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 67 | 69 | 72 | 81 |  |

Middle School Algebra and Geometry SOL Data

| Algebra I |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Division | 100 | 100 | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | 96 |
|  | State |  | Not reported |  |  |
| Black | 100 | 100 | 100 | 93 |  |
| Hispanic | 100 | 100 | 100 | 90 |  |
| White | 100 | 100 | 100 | 97 |  |
| Asian |  | $>$ | $>$ | 92 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 100 | 100 | 100 | 86 |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 100 | 100 | 100 | 92 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 100 | 100 | 100 | 89 |  |


| Geometry |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8} \mathbf{- 2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | 2011-2012 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Division | 100 | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | 99 | 99 |
|  | State |  |  |  |  |
| Black | 100 | Not reported |  |  |  |
| Hispanic | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |
| White | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |
| Asian |  | $>$ | 99 | 99 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 100 | 100 | $>$ | 100 |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |

## Elementary

Kindergarten, Second, and Fifth Grade Reading Data (PALS \& QRI)

## PALS

The Phonological Awareness Literacy Screening (PALS) provides a comprehensive assessment of young children's knowledge of the important literacy fundamentals that are predictive of future reading success. These scores reflect students who have met the PALS benchmarks for the grade specified. All students, grades K-2, take the PALS at the beginning of the year.

| PALS | Kindergarten | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | 2011-2012 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Met Bench Marks | 91.5 | 88.1 | $\mathbf{9 3 . 1}$ | 92.3 |  |
| Below Bench Marks | 8.5 | 11.9 | 6.9 | 7.7 |  |


| PALS | Grade 2 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | 2011-2012 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Met Bench Marks | 85.1 | 88.5 | 86.2 | $\mathbf{8 6 . 6}$ |  |
| Below Bench Marks | 14.9 | 11.5 | 13.8 | 13.4 |  |

## PALS - Fall 2011

| Grade | Met Benchmark |  | Did Not Meet Benchmark |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% |
| KG | 840 | 88.90\% | 105 | 11.10\% |
| 1 | 548 | 90.60\% | 57 | 9.40\% |
| 2 | 570 | 81.00\% | 134 | 19.00\% |

## PALS Performance by Student Subgroups

| Grade | Subgroup | Met Benchmark |  | Did Not Meet Benchmark |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% |
| KG | Black | 74 | 84.1\% | 14 | 15.9\% |
|  | Hispanic | 94 | 72.9\% | 35 | 27.1\% |
|  | White | 574 | 92.1\% | 49 | 7.9\% |
|  | Other | 98 | 93.3\% | 7 |  |
|  | SPED | 53 | 77.9\% | 15 | 22.1\% |
|  | ESOL | 86 | 66.7\% | 43 | 33.3\% |
| 1 | Black | 63 | 87.5\% | 9 | 12.5\% |
|  | Hispanic | 63 | 87.5\% | 9 | 12.5\% |
|  | White | 350 | 91.1\% | 34 | 8.9\% |
|  | Other | 72 | 93.5\% | 5 | 6.5 |
|  | SPED | 24 | 60.0\% | 16 | 40.0\% |
|  | ESOL | 71 | 84.5\% | 13 | 15.5\% |
| 2 | Black | 66 | 67.3\% | 32 | 32.7\% |
|  | Hispanic | 46 | 56.8\% | 35 | 43.2\% |
|  | White | 389 | 87.4\% | 56 | 12.6\% |
|  | Other | 69 | 86.3 | 11 | 13.7\% |
|  | SPED | 20 | 35.7\% | 36 | 64.3\% |
|  | ESOL | 71 | 70.3\% | 30 | 29.7\% |

QRI
When used to determine a student's reading levels, the Qualitative Reading Inventory (QRI) can help find the levels at which a student can read independently, read with instructional guidance, and read with frustration. These instructional levels assist in determining if students are 'on grade level'.

| QRI | Grade 5 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | 2011-2012 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Met Bench Marks | 92.4 | 93.1 | 88.2 | 93.6 |  |
| Below Bench Marks | 7.6 | 6.9 | 11.8 | 6.4 |  |

QRI - Fall 2011

| Grade | Met Benchmark |  | Did Not Meet Benchmark |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\%$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 708 | $82.40 \%$ | 151 | $17.60 \%$ |
| 4 | 838 | $83.20 \%$ | 169 | $16.80 \%$ |
| 5 | 700 | $78.50 \%$ | 192 | $21.50 \%$ |

QRI Performance by Subgroups - Fall 2011

| Grade | Subgroups | Met Benchmark |  | Did Not Meet Benchmark |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% |
| 3 | Black | 32 | 57.1\% | 24 | 42.9\% |
|  | Hispanic | 27 | 47.4\% | 30 | 52.6\% |
|  | White | 290 | 83.1\% | 59 | 16.9\% |
|  | Other | 68 | 91.9\% | 6 | 8.1\% |
|  | SPED | 17 | 40.5\% | 25 | 59.5\% |
|  | ESOL | 45 | 58.4\% | 32 | 41.6\% |
| 4 | Black | 57 | 64.0\% | 32 | 36.0\% |
|  | Hispanic | 37 | 56.1\% | 29 | 43.9\% |
|  | White | 504 | 86.7\% | 77 | 13.3\% |
|  |  | 61 | 84.7\% | 11 | 15.3\% |
|  | SPED | 21 | 29.6\% | 50 | 70.4\% |
|  | ESOL | 36 | 52.9\% | 32 | 47.1\% |
| 5 | Black | 30 | 44.1\% | 38 | 55.9\% |
|  | Hispanic | 37 | 52.9\% | 33 | 47.1\% |
|  | White | 352 | 77.7\% | 101 | 22.3\% |
|  |  | 56 | 86.2\% | 9 | 13.8\% |
|  | SPED | 11 | 19.3\% | 46 | 80.7\% |
|  | ESOL | 22 | 44.0\% | 28 | 56.0\% |

Third Grade SOL Data

| English: Reading | Grade 3 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8} \mathbf{- 2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| All Students | Division | 91 | 88 | 87 | 86 |
|  | State | 86 | 83 | 83 | 86 |
| Black | 80 | 69 | 73 | 61 |  |
| Hispanic | 75 | 79 | 73 | 78 |  |
| White | 93 | 92 | 90 | 90 |  |
| Asian |  | 93 | 97 | 98 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 72 | 67 | 64 | 52 |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 78 | 73 | 72 | 71 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 85 | 84 | 79 | 81 |  |


| History and Social Science | Grade 3 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Division | 93 | 91 | 81 | 80 |
|  | State | 93 | 93 | 85 | 87 |
| Black |  | 79 | 75 | 61 | 46 |
| Hispanic | 88 | 88 | 85 | 70 |  |
| White | 95 | 93 | 84 | 85 |  |
| Asian |  | 100 | 91 | 96 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 75 | 60 | 46 | 36 |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 78 | 75 | 58 | 54 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 98 | 94 | 88 | 83 |  |


| Mathematics | Grade 3 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All Students | Division | 93 | 94 | 91 | 60 |
|  | State | 89 | 92 | 91 | 64 |
| Black |  | 82 | 83 | 82 | 25 |
| Hispanic | 78 | 81 | 82 | 37 |  |
| White | 95 | 96 | 94 | 69 |  |
| Asian |  | 98 | 98 | 82 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 77 | 72 | 63 | 30 |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 82 | 82 | 81 | 34 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 88 | 86 | 86 | 46 |  |


| Science | Grade 3 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Division | 90 | 92 | 89 | 86 |
|  | State | 89 | 91 | 90 | 90 |
| Black |  | 70 | 75 | 75 | 54 |
| Hispanic | 73 | 91 | 76 | 79 |  |
| White | 93 | 94 | 92 | 91 |  |
| Asian |  | 100 | 97 | 98 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 66 | 57 | 62 | 60 |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 72 | 81 | 74 | 68 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 91 | 94 | 85 | 95 |  |

Fourth Grade SOL Data

| English: Reading | Grade $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| All Students | Division | 93 | 93 | 88 | 89 |
|  | State | 89 | 88 | 87 | 88 |
| Black |  | 87 | 85 | 67 | 72 |
| Hispanic | 92 | 82 | 77 | 78 |  |
| White | 94 | 95 | 93 | 93 |  |
| Asian |  | 96 | 91 | 94 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 75 | 84 | 55 | 60 |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 85 | 83 | 70 | 75 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 93 | 87 | 72 | 74 |  |


| Mathematics | Grade 4 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All Students | Division | 90 | 93 | 91 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ |
|  | State | 86 | 88 | 89 | 70 |
| Black |  | 79 | 84 | 78 | 37 |
| Hispanic | 78 | 83 | 78 | 42 |  |
| White | 93 | 95 | 94 | 78 |  |
| Asian |  | 98 | 94 | 86 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 69 | 87 | 61 | 38 |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 76 | 83 | 80 | 38 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 87 | 89 | 75 | 43 |  |

Fifth Grade SOL Data

| English: Reading | Grade 5 | 2008-2009 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All Students | Division | 94 | 95 | 92 | 87 |
|  | State | 92 | 90 | 89 | 89 |
| Black |  | 82 | 85 | 87 | 66 |
| Hispanic | 85 | 94 | 82 | 75 |  |
| White | 96 | 97 | 94 | 91 |  |
| Asian |  | 93 | 100 | 91 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 80 | 86 | 73 | 52 |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 79 | 87 | 82 | 72 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 87 | 89 | 86 | 73 |  |


| English: Writing | Grade 5 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8} \mathbf{- 2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| All Students | Division | 90 | 91 | 91 | 88 |
|  | State | 86 | 88 | 87 | 87 |
| Black |  | 69 | 78 | 76 | 62 |
| Hispanic | 96 | 96 | 83 | 91 |  |
| Asian |  | 97 | 100 | 91 |  |
| White | 92 | 93 | 94 | 90 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 65 | 57 | 63 | 54 |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 71 | 78 | 78 | 75 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 100 | 91 | 100 | 86 |  |


| Virginia Studies | Grade 5 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8} \mathbf{- 2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Division | 86 | 89 | 87 | 84 |
|  | State | 88 | 87 | 89 | 89 |
| Black |  | 61 | 71 | 68 | 58 |
| Hispanic | 63 | 77 | 70 | 61 |  |
| White | 91 | 93 | 91 | 90 |  |
| Asian |  |  | 98 | 95 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 65 | 58 | 55 | 44 |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 59 | 70 | 69 | 61 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 68 | 71 | 68 | 58 |  |


| Mathematics | Grade 5 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | Division | 93 | 93 | 91 | 69 |
|  | State | 90 | 90 | 89 | 67 |
| Black |  | 83 | 85 | 79 | 34 |
| Hispanic | 90 | 94 | 83 | 41 |  |
| White | 95 | 95 | 94 | 76 |  |
| Asian |  | 93 | 98 | 88 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 82 | 78 | 66 | 21 |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 83 | 82 | 79 | 38 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 95 | 87 | 86 | 43 |  |


| Science | Grade 5 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8} \mathbf{- 2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| All Students | Division | 91 | 88 | 90 | 86 |
|  | State | 88 | 88 | 87 | 88 |
| Black |  | 70 | 70 | 77 | 86 |
| Hispanic | 76 | 75 | 72 | 67 |  |
| White | 96 | 93 | 93 | 92 |  |
| Asian |  | 89 | 90 | 96 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 75 | 63 | 67 | 41 |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 74 | 70 | 75 | 66 |  |
| Limited English Proficient | 78 | 67 | 69 | 67 |  |

## 2011-2012 Division Profile

| County Population (2011 census) | 98,970 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Student Enrollment (pre-K through 12) | $\mathbf{1 3 , 1 2 2}$ |
| FY 2011-2012 Operating Budget (Audited Actuals unavailable at this time) | $\$ 144,491,184$ |
| FY 2011-2012 Budgeted Per Pupil Expense (Audited Actuals unavailable at time time) | $\$ 11,046$ |
| FY 2012-2013 Operating Budget | $\$ 151,249,906$ |
| FY 2012-2013 Budgeted Per Pupil Expense | $\$ 11,668$ |


| Class Size and Other Statistics |  | School Facilities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Class Size: Grades K-2 | 18.62 | 16 elementary schools (preschool-5) |
| Average Class Size: Grades 3-5 | 19.47 | 5 middle schools (6-8) |
| Average Class Size: Grades 6-12 | 20.00 | 3 comprehensive high schools (9-12) |
| Number of School Lunches Served Daily | 6,522 | 1 charter high school (9-12) |
| Number of Miles Buses Travel Daily | 13,561 | 1 charter middle school (6-8) |
| Student-to-Computer Ratio | 3:01 | 1 STEM Magnet Program (9-12) |
|  |  | 1 Alternative Learning Center |
|  |  | 1 Vocational-Technical Center |
|  |  | All Schools Accredited by Virginia DOE |

## Student Body Makeup

51.2\% male; 48.8\% female
69.7\% white; 11.4\% black; 9.0\% Hispanic; 4.5\% Asian; 5.5\% other

Gifted education students: 12.6\%
Special education students: 11.0\%
ESL students: 7.8\%
Languages spoken (ESOL/All): 60/77
Students eligible for Free or Reduced Price Meals: 26.9\%

## Staff

Teachers: 1,196
99.5\% of teachers are "highly qualified" according to No Child Left Behind regulations
$58 \%$ of teaching staff holds advanced degrees
Average years of experience: 15
Other staff: 1,191

Academic Statistics
College-Level (AP \& Dual Enrollment) courses offered 39
Students earning a passing score on AP Exams (ACPS/State) 81.8\%/61.0\%
Advanced Studies Diploma 70.4\%
Graduates Pursuing Higher Education 83.6\%
Average Reading SAT Score (ACPS/State/U.S.) 554/510/496
Average Math SAT Score (ACPS/State/U.S.) 558/512/514
Average Writing SAT Score (ACPS/State/U.S.) 538/495/488
On-Time Graduation Rate 92.0\%


[^0]:    * Industry Credentials are only administered in "Part II" Career and Technical Education courses.

